# ACADEMIES ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL

Long-term Institutional Outcomes			
•	Increased graduation rates		
•	Increased retention rates		
•	Increased student satisfaction		

NOTE: In addition to the Academies-specific data described below, evaluation of these long-term outcomes will include College-wide data from CUNY PMP reports and student experience surveys such as Noel-Levitz.

# First-Semester Student Experience

## ACADEMIES STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

- Create a culture of completion and transfer for students
- Increase the communication levels between Academic Affairs and Student Affairs

## Student Learning Outcomes

•	Increased knowledge of college
•	Increased connectivity to QCC
•	Increased connectivity to their academy

## Assessment Methodology

• Student survey

#### Status/Timeline

New protocol has been approved.

# <u>Student Support Network</u> (PI's Margot Edlin and Elisabeth Lackner)

# ACADEMIES STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

- Create a culture of completion and transfer for students
- Increase the communication levels between Academic Affairs and Student Affairs

## Student Learning Outcomes

•	Increased student performance
•	Increased student completion rates
•	Decreased number of WUs

## Assessment Methodology

- Assessment tools include: student and faculty surveys, IRDB data, Early Alert and Starfish reports, and focus groups
- Using quantitative and qualitative evaluation methods
- Will address the following research questions:
  - 1. Is the system effectively directing students with needs to the right resources?
  - 2. Does communication flow clearly between faculty, support personnel, and students and address both needs and follow-up actions?
  - 3. Do interventions help student performance in the course?
  - 4. Do interventions reduce unofficial withdrawal rates?
  - 5. Do interventions improve long term academic success and institutional effectiveness?
  - 6. Should the SSN be modified and can it be expanded effectively?

#### Status/Timeline

The assessment of the SSN received IRB approval in April 2013. It is funded through a CUNY-SSRP grant, as well as a grant from the Bill Gates Foundation.

## High Impact Practices

## ACADEMIES STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

- High impact practices will become a common or standard practice that many faculty use in the classroom and will be regularly assessed
- Increased levels of communication between Academic Affairs and Student Affairs will ensure increased student participation in HIPs.

# NOTE: Learning Outcomes for all HIPs were developed during Fall 2013; these will be refined, early Spring 2014, along with measures for each outcome.

## 1. Joint High Impact Assessment (PI – Victor Fichera and Elisabeth Lackner)

## Student Learning Outcomes

٠	Increased performance in classes
•	Increase engagement with College
•	Increased communication and learning skills
•	(will vary depending on HI)

#### Assessment Methodology

- Student survey to target specific High Impact Practices
- Review IRDB database to correlate HIP participation with Institutional Outcomes

## Status/Timeline

New protocol has been approved.

## 2. Academic Service Learning

## (Program Coordinators - Josephine Pantaleo, Arlene Kemmerer, Sharon Ellerton, Christine DiMeo, and Mary Bandziukas)

#### Student Learning Outcomes

• Integrate academic-learning in this course with real life experiences in this project
---

- Identify the community need and generate possible actions to address it
- · Demonstrate a realistic understanding of the daily commitment and responsibilities needed to work with others
- Articulate at least two different perspectives on the community issue their project addressed (Note: This is a measure of the first outcome)

## Assessment Methodology

- Post only survey
- Some courses assessed for content knowledge
- Assessment is also done under other efforts (Perkins, AACU, CETL grants)

## Status/Timeline

Protocol approved by IRB.

## 3. Writing Intensive (Program Coordinators - Megan Elias, Jean Murley, and Jeff Jankowski)

## Student Learning Outcomes

- Recognize and use writing as tool for learning
- Develop the habit of using writing to come to understand a disciplinary concept or practice and refine that understanding over time
- Realize that successful academic writing is a process that requires revision
- Demonstrate substantive revision and objective evaluation of their own writing

# Assessment Methodology

- Faculty development plan due by the beginning of the spring 2014 semester (1/27/14)
  - Assessment plan will be developed by Spring Break (4/14/14)

#### Status/Timeline

Faculty development plan (1/27/14) Assessment plan (4/14/14)

## 4. Learning Communities (Program Coordinators – Elise Denbo, Zivah Perel, and Susan Madera)

## Student Learning Outcomes

- Identify conceptual similarities and differences between the ways each discipline in the LC researches and investigates topics under study
- Evaluate information from the different disciplines in the LC and integrate it into a broader concept
  - Communicate knowledge between the different LC disciplines using disciplinary appropriate language
- Develop a strong connection to other students and to their professors within the LC

# Assessment Methodology (proposed)

- Faculty development plan due by the beginning of the spring 2014 semester (1/27/14)
  - Assessment plan will be developed by Spring Break (4/14/14)

## Status/Timeline

Faculty development plan (1/27/14) Assessment plan (4/14/14)

5. Collaborative Assignments and Projects (SWIG Program Coordinators - Trikartikaningsih Byas and Jean Amaral)

## Student Learning Outcomes

- Use available technologies to collaborate asynchronously to complete tasks
- Apply key words and concepts of the primary course discipline while acknowledging the perspective of the collaborating course discipline
- Provide thoughtful, effective, and timely feedback to others and assess others' feedback to them
- Produce meaningful visual and/or textual commentary about the other students' work
- Evaluate the quality of an argument or evidence
- Articulate how they contribute to and learn from the interdisciplinary collaboration

## Assessment Methodology

- Faculty development plan due by the beginning of the spring 2014 semester (1/27/14)
- Assessment plan will be developed by Spring Break (4/14/14)

## Status/Timeline

Faculty development plan (1/27/14) Assessment plan (4/14/14)

#### 6. Common Intellectual Experiences (Common Read and Academy Specific Courses)

## 6a. Common Read (Program Coordinator - Susan Madera)

## Student Learning Outcomes

Integrate ideas from a variety of sources and apply them to the chosen Common Read text

- Participate in events that introduce them to multiple disciplinary perspectives
  - Via co-curricular events, critically engage socially and academically in topics beyond their routine course objectives
  - Produce meaningful visual and/or textual commentary about the other students' work

# 6b. Academy-specific courses (Program Coordinator - Susan Madera)

#### Student Learning Outcomes

•	Have an increased	opportunity to engage	ge with other stud	ents in core co	ourses within their major
•	Have an increased	opportunity to engage	ge with other stud	ents in core co	ourses within their majo

- Make connections between a required core general education course and their major
- Have an opportunity to critically engage in topics beyond their typical core course objectives

#### Assessment Methodology

Plan to be developed

#### Timeline/Status

Plan to be developed in spring 2014

## 7. Diversity and Global Learning (Program Coordinator - Meg Tarafdar)

## Student Learning Outcomes

10	Bearing outcomes
•	Identify the key elements of a global issue and analyze that issue from multiple perspectives
٠	Apply varying approaches, values or ethical principles to respond to a global question, dilemma, or problem, and describe alternative outcomes
•	Articulate an informed stance on a global issue either verbally or through writing
•	Demonstrate an understanding of global interdependence between one or more communities
•	Identify how position/grounding shapes one's perception of a complex global issue

#### Assessment Methodology

- Faculty development plan due by the beginning of the spring 2014 semester (1/27/14)
- Assessment plan will be developed by Spring Break (4/14/14)

#### Status/Timeline

Faculty development plan (1/27/14) Assessment plan (4/14/14)

# 8. Undergraduate Research (Program Coordinator – Cheryl Bluestone F13, Mercedes Franco S14)

## Student Learning Outcomes

•	Follow protocol in order to gather appropriate data, evaluate, and analyze data accurately to provide a solution to a problem and complete a project
•	Present the data in an appropriate format to submit an analytical product to support/refute different points of view on a topic. Formatting includes creating and labeling relevant figures, tables, or graphs
•	Accurately present his or her product at an appropriate venue such as a class or club meeting, a departmental, QCC, or any

## regional or national conference

# Assessment Methodology

Assessment protocol will be developed by faculty focus groups in the Fall semester

# Status/Timeline

Faculty development plan (1/27/14) Assessment plan (4/14/14)

## <u>Critical Course & Program Analysis</u> (PIs Elisabeth Lackner and Victor Fichera)

# ACADEMY STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL

• Identify barriers to student success in high-enrollment general education courses.

# Assessment Methodology

- The Office of Institutional Research and Assessment will review identify critical courses that hinder student progress and degree completion.
- This information will be used by the Office of Academic Affairs to make decisions about possible interventions, including use of the Student Support Network.

# Status/Timeline

• Identify courses and design interventions Spring 2014, with Fall 2014implementation