# ASSESSMENT RESULTS FOR QCC EDUCATIONAL OUTCOME #2 – STEM Academy Spring 2014 (CHEMISTRY, BIOLOGY, MATH)

# **GEN ED #2** - USE ANALYTICAL REASONING TO IDENTIFY ISSUES OR PROBLEMS AND EVALUATE EVIDENCE IN ORDER TO MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS

#### **QCC Outcomes:**

- a. Distinguish the multistep problem and propose solution or answer.
- b. Differentiate between different ways to solve the problem and come up with multiple strategies to solve a problem.
- c. Evaluate the quality of evidence

GEN ED OUTCOME	• Develop logical and consistent plan to problem solving,
ADDRESSED IN	recognize the consequences of solution choice and be able to
<b>ASSESSMENT:</b>	articulate the reasoning behind a particular choice of answers.

# 1. EVIDENCE/MEASURABLE DATA

#### a. Describe artifacts reviewed

A1. Question #28 on Exam # 4 in <u>Genetics Lecture BI-356</u>. It is a multiple step problem and requires knowledge of several techniques learnt in class to answer the question. Student must develop a strategy to answer the problem correctly. Student must use analytical reasoning and evaluate their evidence at each step to move forward in a problem.

A2. In <u>MA-441 D135</u> a three-part assignment was given in separate stages. Please see the attached documents. Part 2 was given after Part 1 was collected. Part 3 was given after Part 2 was collected. In Part 1, students were asked for a strategy to estimate sin(0.5585) without technology; in Part 2 they were asked to implement their strategy; in Part 3 they were asked to read about and use a 3<sup>rd</sup> degree Taylor polynomial to estimate sin(0.5585) and compare the results to those found in Part 2.

Essentially, in parts 1 and 2, students were asked to solve and discuss a HW problem based on material, linearization, which was covered a few weeks earlier in the course. Part 3 introduced concepts not previously discussed, asked for an implementation of these concepts and comparison, based on numerical and graphical evidence, with previous results.

A3. In <u>CH-151 X13B</u> two in class exams were given to the students. The exams were in short answer format.

- b. Number of artifacts N = 16 (BI 356); 24 (CH-151); 24 (10 students) MAD-441 Total 64
- c. Number of course sections: BI356 PNET, MAD-441 D135, CH-151 X13B

## 2. RUBRIC

Excellent Skill	Above Average	Average Skill	Below Average	No Skill Level
Level (4)	Skill Level(3)	Level(2)	Skill Level(1)	(F)
Can solve multi step problem, can choose an efficient method and explain the process used to derive the answer with complete accuracy.	Choose the appropriate formula to solve the problem correctly. Can explain the process used to derive the answer with almost accuracy.	Choose the appropriate formula to solve the problem but does not always reach correct solution. Can explain the process used to solve the problem with some accuracy.	Cannot solve the problem correctly. Has difficulty explaining the process used to answer the question.	Cannot solve the problem and cannot explain anything done in the process.

# 3. RESULTS

<u>MA 441</u>	Excellent Skill	Above Average	Average Skill	Below Average Skill	No Skill Lovel
	Level (4)	Skill Level(3)	Level(2)	Level(1)	(F)
	Can solve multi step problem, can choose an efficient method and explain the process used to derive the answer with complete accuracy.	Choose the appropriate formula to solve the problem correctly. Can explain the process used to derive the answer with almost accuracy.	Choose the appropriate formula to solve the problem but does not always reach correct solution. Can explain the process used to solve the problem with some accuracy.	Cannot solve the problem correctly. Has difficulty explaining the process used to answer the question.	Cannot solve the problem and cannot explain anything done in the process.
Itemized tasks					
Identified related procedures	3/10	5/10	1/10	0/10	1/10
Justified appropriateness of said procedures	0/10	0/10	1/10	8/10	1/10
Outlined solution steps	2/10	5/10	1/10	1/10	1/10
Solved the problem	2/10	5/10	1/10	0/10	2/10
Solved problem with alternate (instructor suggested) method	4/10	1/10	0/10	1/10	4/10
Made comparison	1/10	0/10	1/10	3/10	5/10
Provided evidence for comparison	1/10	0/10	0/10	2/10	7/10

<u>CH-151</u>	Excellent Skill Level (4)	Above Average Skill Level(3)	Average Skill Level(2)	Below Average Skill Level(1)	No Skill Level
	20,01(1)				(_)
(A) Exam $\#2$ (03/03)					
Phosphorus reacts with iodine					
as shown in the chemical					
reaction below. What is the					
percent	5 students	2 students	0 students	6 students	11 students
<b>yield</b> of the reaction if 28.2 g	(20.8%)	(8.30%)	(0.00%)	(25.0%)	(45.8%)
PI <sub>3</sub> is obtained from the reaction					
of 48.0 g of I <sub>2</sub> with excess					
phosphorus?					
$2P(s) + 3I_2(s) \rightarrow 2PI_3(s)$					
(B) Exam #3 (03/24)					
How many <b>liters of chlorine</b>					
gas at 25°C and 0.950 atm can					
be produced by the reaction of	16 atradanta	0 students	1 atradanta	0 studouts	1 students
12.0 g of MnO <sub>2</sub> with excess	10 students		4  students		4 students $(16.79())$
HCl (aq) according to the	(00.7%)	(0.00%)	(10.7%)	(0.00%)	(10.7%)
following chemical equation?					
$MnO_2(s) + 4HCl(aq) \rightarrow MnCl_2$					
$(C)(aq) + 2H_2O(l) + Cl_2(g)$					

BI-356 Results, as graded from the questions # of students	Excellent (15 points)	Good (12 points)	Minimally Acceptable (9 points)	Poor (5 point)	Insufficient evidence (0 points)
	7	4	3	1	1

4. STATUS – degree to which students have met the General Education Outcome (use a scale)

## <u>BI 356</u>

STATUS – Degree to which students have met	Excellent (15 points)	Good (12 points)	Minima lly Accepta ble (9 points)	Poor (5 point)	Insuffici ent evidence (0 points)
Gen. Ed. Outcome	44%	25%	19%	6%	6%

Total 88% of the class met the Gen Ed Objective set forth by the exam question. 12% didn't meet the standard.

#### <u>MA-441</u>

STATUS – degree to which students have	Excellent (4 points)	Good (3 points)	Minimally Acceptable (2 points)	Poor (1 point)	Insufficient evidence (0 points)
met Gen. Ed. Outcome #of students per category; total 10 students	10%	20%	30%	20%	20%

## <u>CH-151</u>

#### (A) Exam #2 (03/03)

Based on the results, 20.8% of the students completely understood how to solve the multistep problem with explanation, and thus have met the Gen. Ed. Outcome.

STATUS – degree to which students have met Gen. Ed. Outcome	Excellent 100-80% of students	Good 79-60% of students	Minimally Acceptable 59-40% of students	Poor 39-20% of students	Insufficient evidence 19-0% of students
CHECK ONE				х	

#### (B)Exam #3 (03/24)

Based on the results, 66.7% of the students completely understood how to solve the multistep problem with explanation, and thus have met the Gen. Ed. outcome.

STATUS – degree to which students have met Gen. Ed. Outcome	Excellent 100-80% of students	Good 79-60% of students	Minimally Acceptable 59-40% of students	Poor 39-20% of students	Insufficient evidence 19-0% of students
CHECK ONE		х			

## 5. RATIONALE - briefly explain the rating above

#### <u>BI 356</u>

There was a three part question a) b) and c). Each part is weighed equally 5 points each. If everything is answered perfectly a student can earn 15 points or Excellent. If one point is lost in each section the rating is still good at 12 points. However if student earns 3 points in each section then minimally acceptable at 9 points however anything less than that is poor understanding of the concepts and therefore not acceptable.

#### <u>CH-151</u>

A question on determining percent yield was asked during the second exam (03/03). Fifty percent of the students developed no skills and were not able to solve the multi-step problem. Almost half of the students (45.8%) were also not able to complete the initial calculations of converting mass to moles. Only 20.8% of the students were able to fully solve the muti-step problem in this category.

On the third exam (03/24), students had better mastered the skill of converting mass to moles and incorporating this knowledge in accurately solving multi-step problems. <u>83.3% of the students were able to obtain an above average skill level at converting mass to moles (compared to 45.8% on 03/03) and 66.7% of the students were able to fully solve the muti-step problem (compared to 20.8% on 03/03).</u>

#### <u>MA-441</u>

1/10 under "Excellent" means the work of one student received a rating of 4. This was based on students' scores on the itemized tasks.

In Part 1, students were mostly correct in identifying linearization as the tool to use and listing steps for obtaining the approximation. In general, students were not successful in explaining why linearization is appropriate for this problem.

In Part 2, students had some success but not as much as might be expected given that material was covered in class previously.

In Part 3, students had some success in finding the  $3^{rd}$  degree Taylor polynomial and using it to estimate sin(0.5585). However, comparisons of estimates through the polynomial to estimates through the tangent line were lacking.

I would assign an overall score of around 2.5 for the entire group.

# 6. DISCUSSION OF THIS PROCESS: What did you learn and what would you recommend?

#### <u>BI 356</u>

Students had a hard time mastering this problem because it required students to have the knowledge of 7 different techniques learnt in class and use it to complete one problem. Those students who have done these techniques hands on in a lab have better time understanding it compared to students who learn these concepts in lecture alone. As an instructor, I have recently incorporated an undergraduate research experience in this class therefore students will get a chance to complete a hands on lab to get a better understanding of these concepts.

## <u>MA-441</u>

Overall, students did meet the objective "Use quantitative skills and mathematical reasoning to solve problems with the aid of the tools and techniques learned in calculus" but did not do so well with developing and articulating a plan as described in the learning outcome on page 2, communicating results or evaluating evidence. It would be inappropriate to draw general conclusions based on this single assessment. More so, developing and articulating a plan is more common in experimental science than in mathematics. However, I think the results reflect the traditional coverage of material, which tends to focus more on procedural knowledge and less so on communication and conceptual understanding. Frequent and small writing assignments may improve students' ability to communicate their results.

## <u>CH-151</u>

At the beginning of the semester, students were taught how to perform mass to moles conversions. They were later taught how to use this knowledge to further determine 1) percent yield of a chemical reaction and 2) the theoretical volume of a gaseous product in a chemical reaction.

A question on determining percent yield was asked during the second exam (03/03). Fifty percent of the students developed no skills and were not able to solve the multi-step problem. Almost half of the

students (45.8%) were also not able to complete the initial calculations of converting mass to moles. Only 20.8% of the students were able to fully solve the muti-step problem in this category.

On the third exam (03/24), students had better mastered the skill of converting mass to moles and incorporating this knowledge in accurately solving multi-step problems. <u>83.3% of the students were able to obtain an above average skill level at converting mass to moles (compared to 45.8% on 03/03) and 66.7% of the students were able to fully solve the muti-step problem (compared to 20.8% on 03/03).</u>

After the second exam (03/03) students were given a sheet of additional homework problems on mass to moles conversions and multistep problems that involved these conversions. One question from this sheet was assigned to each student. During one class period before their third exam, each student presented their assign question to the class. It was assumed that the improvement in students' understanding of the material was as a result of the additional practice which was made mandatory and public. This strategy will be further tested in future semesters.

# ASSESSMENT RESULTS FOR QCC EDUCATIONAL OUTCOME #2 STEM Academy, Spring 2014 (Engineering Technology)

GEN ED #2 - USE	ANALYTICAL REASONING TO IDENTIFY ISSUES OR PROBLEMS AND EVALUATE EVIDENCE
IN ORDER TO M	AKE INFORMED DECISIONS
QCC Outcomes:	
d. Distingu	ish the problem or question from a proposed solution or answer.
e. Differer	ntiate between facts, assumptions, and conclusions in the formulation of a proposed
solution	n or answer compare the way questions, issues or problems are formulated.
f. Differer	ntiate between the perspectives of various field of study and describe and compare the
way qu	estions, issues or problems are formulated.
g. Evaluat	e the quality of evidence from the artifacts.
GEN ED OUTCOME	QCC EDUCATIONAL OUTCOME #2
IN	
ASSESSMENT	
:	
EVIDENCE/ MEASURABI	Course project excitonent from multiple clear costions
E DATA	Course project assignment from multiple class sections
Describe	Su Artifacts from STEW Academy
artifacts	5 class sections
reviewed	
artifacts	
No. of	
sections	
RUBRIC	See attached documentation for Rubrics
	ET 420 Computer Project Laboratory
	In ET 420, faculty evaluated exercises where students: 1) Read a case study of leaking waste
	containers, and then they discuss it in class. 2) Read a case study of leaking microwave ovens and
	answer questions regarding the study.
	ET 501 Computer Applications
	In ET 501, faculty evaluated exercises where students:
	1) Discuss and analyze a selected technology world scenario issue.
	2) Discuss a current topic related to interaction between the web and social issues.
	4) Prepare a table plus a report on ethical issues.
	5) Include a topical list of responsibilities as part of a final report.
	6) Discuss, research, and present ethics situations in groups as part of the Final Project.
	7) Report on articles on responsibility in a section of the final report. Read an article about ethical
	issue and write a report about it.
	8) Search for information on the Internet, download graphics, and text.
RESULTS	The results for performance indicator in the applicable courses were obtained by reviewing the
	students performance on the selected exercises, which are indicated on the summary evaluation forms, and the quality point averages are as follows:
	ET 420: Avg = 3.25 (Fa 13)
	ET 420: Avg = 2.71 (Sp 13)
	ET 501: Avg = 3.04 (Fa 13)
	ET 501: Avg = 3.14 (Sp 13)
	A review of the assessment rubrics and student work indicates that students can describe the
	interrelationships between technology, professional responsibilities, and contemporary society.
	(Fa 13 and Sp 13).
1	in ET 420, faculty noted that one student never returned after the storm (Sandy). One received a 👘

	grade of INC.				
	The case study of leaking microwa	ave ovens was pres	sented as a class dis	cussion. All stud	ents
	present participated and offered r	meaningful comme	ents (Fa 13). In the s	Spring of 2013. E	T 420
	faculty noted students who hande	d in the assignme	nt all provided thou	ightful response	sindicating
	an understanding of ethical condu	ict and responsibil	itios	Gintian response.	5 maleating
	In ET EQ1 faculty pated that source	al students cannot	write in prese the	ir roport was in	outling
			. write in prose, the	ii report was in o	Jutime
	Spring of 2013, one ET 501 faculty	member noted th	hat many students r	have difficulty wr	iting in
	prose. They tend to put thoughts a	and ideas in outlin	e form.		
	Faculty members have continued	to developed new	exercises, which ca	in be used as a F	inal Project
	in ET 501. The exercises present st	tudents with a cho	ice of scenarios, an	d ask the studen	its to
	identify the issues, find informatic	on to address the is	ssues, and provide	possible approad	ches to
	solving the issues in the scenario.	The students use t	the internet and lib	rary databases to	o support
	their topics, with proper citations,	, and they give tea	m presentations to	the class. The va	rious
	scenarios considered include the f	following: 1) Lithiu	m, which is used in	Lithium Ion Batt	eries, as a
	national asset in Bolivia - Financia	l considerations ar	nd contract negotia	tions. 2) Sludge,	waste from
	the Tennessee Valley Authority's o	coal-burning Kings	ton Fossil Plant, spi	ll out of an earth	dam -
	Cleanup and health issues, 3) Larg	e increase in the n	umber of maior co	mputers at the S	tanford
	Linear Accelerator Center – Excess	sive amount of mo	nev spent to build t	the power and c	ooling
	systems 4) Simulation of a cyber-	attack aimed at na	ralyzing the nation	's nower grids it	s
	communications systems or its fin	ancial networks –	Real life attack on (	computers at Go	ogle Inc
	communications systems of its im				ogie me.
STATUS – degree	Excellent	Good	Minimally	Poor	Insufficient
to which	(4 points)	(3 points)	Acceptable	(1 point)	evidence
students have	,	,	(2 points)	,	(0 points)
met Gen. Ed.					
Outcome	See attached data				
CHECK ONE					
RATIONALE	A faculty member has developed a	a new exercise bas	ed on cyber terrori	sm along with	
(Briefly	accompanying rubrics to be used t	for the assessment	t of Student Outcon	nes in ET 501.	
explain					
rating above)					
DISCUSSION OF	Faculty in courses such as ET 420 a	and ET 501 will con	tinue to facilitate e	xercises which p	rovide
I HIS	students with the opportunity to o	describe the interr	elationships betwe	en technology, p	rofessional
PROCESS. What did	responsibilities, and contemporar	y society.			
vou learn					
you icuit	ET 501 instructors have modified	exercises to encou	rage students to pr	ovide more tech	nical
and what	ET 501 instructors have modified of content in their assignments.	exercises to encou	rage students to pr	ovide more tech	nical
and what would you	ET 501 instructors have modified of content in their assignments.	exercises to encou	rage students to pr	ovide more tech	nical